

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/511,705	VARON, DAVID	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacqueline DiRamio	1641	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Abandoned

(1) Jacqueline DiRamio.

(3) _____

(2) Greg Kang.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 September 2006

Time: 3:25 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All of Record

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Attorney of Record, Greg Kang, in order to determine if the case had been abandoned because no response had been received for the final rejection mailed February 24, 2006. Attorney notified Examiner that no response had been filed for the case, and the case had in fact gone abandoned.